1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON 7 AT SEATTLE SALIM S. LAKHA 8 Plaintiff, 9 Case No. C09-787-RSL-BAT 10 v. **REPORT AND** RECOMMENDATION MICHAEL J. ASTRUE, Commissioner of the 11 Social Security Administration 12 Defendant. 13 Plaintiff Salim S. Lakha brought this action to seek judicial review of the denial of his 14 claims for a period of disability and for disability insurance benefits and supplemental security 15 income by the Commissioner of the Social Security Administration. Dkt. 1. Based on the 16 stipulation of the parties (Dkt. 30), the Court recommends that this case be **REVERSED** and 17 **REMANDED** for further administrative proceedings. 18 The parties have stipulated that the case be reversed and remanded for a de novo hearing 19 and further administrative proceedings pursuant to sentence four of 42 U.S.C. § 405(g), 20 including but not limited to the following: the administrative law judge (ALJ) will reevaluate the 21 medical source opinions of record; evaluate plaintiff's VA rating consistent with McCartey v. 22 Massanari, 298 F.3d 1072 (9th Cir. 2002) and Valentine v. Commissioner Soc. Sec. Admin., 574 23

**REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION-1** 

| 1  | F.3d 685 (9th Cir. 2009); reevaluate plaintiff's credibility; further evaluate plaintiff's residual |
|----|---|
| 2  | functional capacity; and obtain supplemental vocational expert testimony. See SSR 00-4p.            |
| 3  | The parties further stipulate that plaintiff may submit additional evidence and arguments to        |
| 4  | the ALJ on remand, that the ALJ will issue a de novo decision, and that those other aspects of th   |
| 5  | ALJ's prior decision not specifically addressed here are not specifically affirmed.                 |
| 6  | Because parties have stipulated that the case be remanded as set forth above, the Court             |
| 7  | recommends that United States District Judge Robert S. Lasnik immediately approve this Report       |
| 8  | and Recommendation and order the case <b>REVERSED</b> and <b>REMANDED</b> for further               |
| 9  | administrative proceedings. The parties also agree that upon proper presentation the Court          |
| 10 | should consider plaintiff's application for costs and attorney fees under 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d). A    |
| 11 | proposed order accompanies this Report and Recommendation.  |
| 12 | DATED this 23rd day of April, 2010.   |
| 13 |   |
| 14 | BRIAN A. TSUCHIDA   |
| 15 | United States Magistrate Judge  |
| 16 |   |
| 17 |   |
| 18 |   |
| 19 |   |
| 20 |   |
| 21 |   |
| 22 |   |
| 23 |   |
|    | d .   |